UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/663,772	09/17/2003	Volker Braun	Q77079	2953
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 11/10/200 ON. PLLC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSY	LVANIA AVENUE, N	WIN, AUNG T		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTOI	N, DC 20037	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applicat	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
		10/663,7	772	BRAUN ET AL.		
		Examine	r	Art Unit		
		AUNG T	WIN	2617		
 Period for∃	The MAILING DATE of this communi Reply	cation appears on th	e cover sheet with the	correspondence ac	ddress	
WHICH - Extensic after SIX - If NO pe - Failure t Any repl	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MARIES OF THE MARIE	AILING DATE OF T of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e unication. tutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICATIC vent, however, may a reply be twill expire SIX (6) MONTHS frou plication to become ABANDON	ON. imely filed m the mailing date of this o ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
2a)⊠ T 3)□ S	esponsive to communication(s) filential filential files action is FINAL . 2 ince this application is in condition to seed in accordance with the practice.	b)⊡ This action is or allowance excep	t for formal matters, p		e merits is	
Dispositior	n of Claims					
4a 5)□ C 6)□ C 7)□ C 8)□ C	•	ithdrawn from consi				
10)□ Th Al R	ne specification is objected to by the see drawing(s) filed on is/are: oplicant may not request that any object eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b tion to the drawing(s) the correction is requi	be held in abeyance. So red if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 C	, ,	
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice o) If References Cited (PTO-892) If Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Picon Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) If O(s)/Mail Date	ГО-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date		

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 06/27/2008 with respect to claims 1-10 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 6, 7 & 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 1, 6, 7 & 10 recites "approximately evenly", which renders the claims indefinite because it is unclear what approximately evenly means, since "approximately" is a relative term.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Art Unit: 2617

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 1. Claims 1, 2, 6-8, 10 & 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's submitted published document "Evolving WCDMA" by Hedberg et al (hereinafter Hedberg) in view of IEEE published document: "Transmit Diversity applied on the CDMA/TDD cellular system" by Hiramatsu et al. (hereinafter Hiramatsu), and further in view of Malladi et al. (US 2003/0210668 A1) and further in view of Katz (US006763237B1).
- 1.1 Regarding Claims 1 & 2, Hedberg discloses a HSDPA system and method of sending first and second signals to a plurality of user equipments, the method comprising the steps of:

Providing a dedicated channel for each one of the plurality of user equipments [associated dedicated control channel DPCH: See General channel structure on Page 129];

Providing a code-multiplexed shared channel for the plurality of user equipments [High Speech Downlink Shared Channel (HS_DSCH) shared among users by assigning codes to each user: HSDPA: See General channel structure on Page 128-129];

Sending one of first signals (associated dedicated control channel DPCH to one of the plurality of user equipments on one of the dedicated channels (i.e., DPCHs) on a carrier frequency; and

Sending one of the second signals to one of the plurality of user equipments on the code-multiplexed shared channel (i.e., code-multiplexed HS_DSCH shared channel) on the carrier frequency by applying multi-user diversity through the assigned antenna [sending high speed packet data to the users on code-multiplexed HS_DSCH shared channel on the carrier frequency by applying multi-user diversity: See HSDPA-Improved support for best-effort services on Page 128-129] [every UE to which data can be transmitted on the HS-DSCH has an associated dedicated physical channel DPCH: Page 129] [no separate carrier will be needed for HSDPA services: see Introducing HSDPA services Page 129].

Hedberg does not explicitly teach applying transmit diversity in sending first signal to user equipment on the dedicated channel as claimed. However, techniques and advantages of applying transmit diversity in the wireless system such as open-loop or closed-loop transmit diversity and multi-user diversity would have been obvious to one skilled in the wireless art to improve the system performance.

Hiramatsu teaches open-loop transmit diversity applied to DPCH by assigning an antenna of a set of antennas to each one of the plurality of user equipments [for each user, the anteena receiving the highest power will be selected: Dedicated Physical Channel DPCH and Figure 6 on Page 1171].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention of made to apply transmit diversity on DPCH as taught by Hiramatsu to modify Hedberg's system for sending first signals and for assigning antenna for each

user as claimed. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this to enhance the capacity, coverage, reliability and improvement of the wireless system.

The method and system as modified does not explicitly teach that first signals and second signals are transmitted simultaneously. Malladi et al. teaches HS-DSCH data and DPCH data are transmitted simultaneously [Figure 6]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention of made to further modify the method to transmit first and second signals simultaneously as claimed. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this in order to transmit both HS-DSCH data and associated DPCH data for each user via corresponding already assigned antenna for each user in providing enhanced high speed data service with efficient resource utilization.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art that the method as modified above would teach assigning antennas to user equipments for sending first and second signals by applying transmit diversity and multi-user diversity.

However, modified method does not teach splitting the plurality of user equipments approximately evenly into a plurality of groups and further assigning antenna to approximately evenly grouped users accordingly as amended in the claim.

Katz discloses a base station transmits a plurality of user equipments in which each of two antennas are assigned to two users groups which are grouped evenly [half of users connected are using the first power amplifier and first antenna element, and the other of users connected are using the second power amplifier and second antenna element: Column 5, Line 9-27 & Column 6, Line 35-60] [Column 2, Line 11-20].

Art Unit: 2617

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time of invention of made to further modify the method to assign each of the antennas to users groups which are grouped evenly as taught by Katz to modify as claimed i.e., transmitting second signals applying multi-user diversity via assigned antenna as claimed. One of ordinary skilled in the art at the time of invention of made for load balancing and for substantially equal resource utilization.

- 2.2 Claim 6 is rejected for the same reason as stated above in Claim 1 rejection because claimed executable steps substantially read on the corresponding steps of Claim 1. It would have been obvious to one skill in the art that modified system must have claimed computer program for executing the claimed steps because the system applying modified method is computer based system.
- 2.3 Claims 7 & 8 are rejected for the same reason as stated above in Claim 1 rejection because claimed steps substantially reads on the corresponding steps of Claim 1. Modified system discloses base station (claimed transmitter) for sending of first and second signals to a plurality of user equipments. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that modified base station must have claimed components and scheduler in order to execute corresponding claimed steps because the base station as modified is configured to transmit downlink signals to serving users on

Art Unit: 2617

corresponding assigned channels via assigned antennas applying transmit diversity and multi-users diversity as stated above in Claim 1 rejection.

- 2.4 Claim 10 is also rejected for the same reason as stated above in Claim 1 rejection because claimed steps executed by system substantially reads on the corresponding method steps of Claim 1. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that wireless system operating with modified method would comprises claimed components configured to transmit downlink signals to serving users on corresponding assigned channels via assigned antennas applying transmit diversity and multi-users diversity as stated above in Claim 1 rejection.
- 2. Claims 3, 4, 5 & 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over prior art: "Evolving WCDMA" by Hedberg et al (hereinafter Hedberg) in view of IEEE published prior art: "Transmit Diversity applied on the CDMA/TDD cellular system" by Hiramatsu et al. (hereinafter Hiramatsu), futher in view of Malladi et al. (US 2003/0210668 A1), Katz (US006763237B1) and Dahlman et al. (US20020145988A1).
- 3.1 Regarding Claims 3 & 9, modified system as stated above teaches as claimed in claim 1 and does not explicitly disclose assigning carrier frequency to the dedicated and shared channels. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that

Art Unit: 2617

dedicated and shared channels must be assigned with carrier frequency because they are communication channels.

Dahlman also teaches assigning carrier frequency from a set of available carrier frequencies [0037]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention of made to assign the carrier frequency to dedicated and shared channels from a set of carrier frequencies as taught by Dahlman frequency assigned method to modify as claimed. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention of made to do this to optimize the communication network.

- 3.2 Claim 4 is rejected for the same reason as stated above in Claim 3 rejection. Hedberg also teaches transmitting high speed data using dedicated channels [dedicated channel is suitable for users close to cell borders: page 127] and also teaches using transmit diversity for slow moving user equipment [open-loop transmit diversity: Page 126]. Therefore, it would have been obvious that modified method is also configured to apply transmit diversity to send second signal to users as claimed.
- 3.3 Regarding Claim 5, modified method also teach closed loop transmit diversity i.e., best antenna is selected for transmission based on channel condition information received by each antenna in uplink slot [Hiramatsu: See Selective Transmit Diversity on Page 1171]. At the time of invention of made, the concept and advantage of applying

Art Unit: 2617

closed loop diversity in the wireless system is also well known to one of ordinary skill in

the art.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in

this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

3GPP TS 25.308 v5.2.0 (2002-03)

3GPP TS 25.211 v3.7.0 (2001-06)

US 2004/0063436 A1

Art Unit: 2617

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to AUNG T. WIN whose telephone number is (571)272-7549. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Eisen can be reached on (571) 272-7687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Aung T Win/

Examiner, Art Unit 2617

/Alexander Eisen/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617